

REMARKS

Currently pending claim 1 calls for automatically pausing the video playback when the other information is accessed by selecting a location on the frame. This is not shown in the cited Astiz reference.

What is more surprising is that the Examiner explicitly admitted this in Paper No. 18. There, at page 3, the Examiner states "Astiz does not disclose the steps of receiving a video stream, and pausing said video stream 'when accessing said other information.'" Thus, surprising, the present rejection is directly contrary to the previous office action. There is nothing in Astiz which teaches automatically pausing the video playback when the other information is accessed.

Figure 9 and the material associated therewith simply indicates that a user operated pause may be implemented. There is nothing automatic about that pause and there is certainly nothing about automatically implementing that pause when other information is accessed. All that is stated in column 12, lines 39-44, is that the user may select an option to implement a pause feature. When the user might choose to operate the pause feature is nowhere explained. Moreover, the claim causes for automatically implementing the pause when the other information is accessed. Even if the user were to automatically implement that pause in association with accessing the other information (which does not happen in Astiz) this still would not meet the claim limitation of automatically pausing the video playback when the other information is accessed by selecting a location on the frame.

In other words, merely providing a pause feature does not meet the specific limitations of the second clause of claim 1. That clause requires automatic pausing and requires that that automatic pausing occur at a specific time. That time is "when the other information is accessed by selecting a location on the frame." A mere teaching of a user implemented pause cannot teach automatic pausing. Moreover, it cannot teach automatic pausing "when the other information is accessed by selecting a location on the frame."

In view of these remarks, the rejection should be reconsidered and the application allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 28, 2004



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100
Houston, Texas 77024
(713) 468-8880 [Phone]
(713) 468-8883 [Fax]